arXiv:1109.1845v1 [math.PR] 8 Sep 2011

ON MULTIDIMENSIONAL MANDELBROT'S CASCADES

DARIUSZ BURACZEWSKI, EWA DAMEK, YVES GUIVARC'H

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Kahane, with admiration

Abstract. Let Z be a random variable with values in a proper closed convex cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, A a random endomorphism of C and N a random integer. Given N independent copies (A_i, Z_i) of (A, Z) we define a new random variable $\widehat{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i Z_i$. Let T be the corresponding transformation on the set of probability measures on C. We study existence and properties of fixed points of T. Previous one dimensional results on existence of fixed points of T as well as on homogeneity of their tails are extended to higher dimensions.

1. Introduction

We consider the vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^d$ endowed with a scalar product $\langle x, y\rangle$ and the corresponding norm $x \to |x|$. We fix a proper closed convex subcone $C \subset V$ with nonzero interior $C^0 \neq \emptyset$ and we write $C_+ = C \setminus \{0\}$. Let S be the subsemigroup of End$V$ which consists of $a \in$ EndV such that $a(C) \subset C$ and S_+ the open subsemigroup of S defined by $S_+ = \{a \in S; \mathrm{Ker} a \cap C = \{0\}\}$. Each element a of S_+ has a positive dominant eigenvalue $r(a)$ and a corresponding dominant eigenvector $v_a \in C_+$.

We denote by $M^1(E)$ the space of probability measures on a measurable space E. Let μ be a probability measure on S_+, i.e. $\mu \in M^1(S_+)$. Let A be a random endomorphism distributed according to μ, N a random integer, Z a C_+-valued random vector such that A, N and Z are independent. We consider N independent copies (A_i, Z_i) $(1 \le i \le N)$ of (A, Z) and the new random variable $\widehat{Z}$ defined by

$$\widehat{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i Z_i. \tag{1.1}$$

The corresponding transformation $\rho \to T\rho$ is defined on $M^1(C_+)$, where ρ is the law of Z and $T\rho$ the law of $\widehat{Z}$. We observe that T commutes with the action of $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ on measures defined by extension of the dilations $x \to tx$ $(t \in \mathbb{R}^*_+)$.

We are interested in nontrivial fixed points of T $(\rho \neq \delta_0)$ and their tails. For $d = 1$, fixed points of analogous transformations have been considered by Durrett and Liggett [DL], Holley [Ho], Spitzer [S] and others in connection with the study of invariant measures for infinite systems of particles in interaction. Equation (1.1) is a limiting case of the more complex equations in [Ho, S]; it corresponds to the case where the particles are randomly moving on a tree instead of the n-dimensional lattice, and the random interaction is given by A_i. The matricial equation $(d > 1)$ can be also interpreted in this context with 'coloured' particles numbered from 1 to d. Also, if $d = 1$, N is constant and

---

Key words and phrases. Mandelbrot's cascade, products of random matrices, renewal theorem, fixed points, asymptotic behavior.

This research project has been partially supported by Polonium project 7946/2010. D. Buraczewski and E. Damek were partially supported by MNiSW grant N N201 393937. D. Buraczewski was also supported by European Commission via IEF Project (contract number PIEF-GA-2009-252318 - SCHREC).

$N\mathbb{E}A = 1$, the fixed point equation with finite mean plays an important role in the context of construction of a large class of self-similar random measures [GMW, L1]. Independently of [Ho, S], and in the context of random fractals various questions on equation (1.1) were considered ($d = 1$) by Benoit Mandelbrot [M] and some of them were solved by Kahane, Peyrière [KP]. The question on the homogeneity of the tails of the fixed points was studied by Guivarc'h [G] (see also [L2, RTV]). Equation (1.1) appeared also in the context of branching random walks; see Biggins [Bi] for closely related work.

Here we consider the situation $d > 1$, and we prove the analogues of the results of [KP, G]. If the cone C is $C = \mathbb{R}_+^d$, then S is the semigroup of nonnegative matrices. Another special case, we have in mind, is the cone C of real positive definite matrices. Then S contains the set of mappings $M \to aMa^t$, where a is an orthogonal transformation or an upper triangular matrix. More generally C can be a symmetric cone (e.g. the light cone, see [FK]) or a homogeneous cone [V].

Our framework is as follows. We assume, as in [KP], that A and N have finite expectations: $\mathbb{E}[|A|] + \mathbb{E}[N] < \infty$ and we denote $c = \mathbb{E}[N] \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $m = \mathbb{E}[A] \in S \setminus \{0\}$. If $\rho \in M^1(C_+)$ has a finite mean ρ_1 the same is true for $T\rho$ and the mean $(T\rho)_1$ of $T\rho$ is $(T\rho)_1 = cm\rho_1$. Hence T preserves $M_1^1(C)$, the convex subset of $M^1(C)$ of elements with nonzero finite mean.

In the present paper we study, provided finite expectation of A, the convergence of iterations of (1.1), the fixed points of T in $M_1^1(C)$ and their asymptotics at infinity of C. If Z and $\widehat{Z}$ have the same law ρ with finite expectation, by abuse of language, Z will be called a fixed point of (1.1). Clearly, if Z is a fixed point of (1.1), then the law ρ of Z satisfies $T\rho = \rho$. Also, the condition $T\rho = \rho$ implies $\rho_1 = (T\rho)_1 = cm\rho_1$, hence the spectral radius $r(m)$ of $m = \mathbb{E}A$ is $\frac{1}{c}$. We assume below this condition to be satisfied.

Conversely, one can construct a fixed point of (1.1) as follows. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tree with root o, a typical vertex (resp. edge) will be denoted by γ (resp. α). We identify γ with the path from o to γ, we denote by $|\gamma|$ the length of this path and by N_γ the number of children of γ. If an element A_α of S_+ is associated to each edge α of $\mathcal{T}$, we obtain a labelled tree $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$. For every vertex γ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$, we form the product of matrices $P_\gamma = A_{\alpha_1} A_{\alpha_2} \dots A_{\alpha_n}$, where $\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n$ is the path from o to γ. We assume that A_α (resp. N_γ) are independent copies of A (resp. N) and that all A_α, N_γ are independent. Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the σ-field generated by all N_γ, A_α where $|\gamma| \le n$ and α is the edge connecting γ to γ' being a child of γ with $|\gamma'| \le n$. If $v \in C_+$ is a dominant eigenvector of $\mathbb{E}[A] = m$, we consider the sequence of random variables $Y_n = \sum_{|\gamma|=n} P_\gamma v$ called Mandelbrot's cascade, and the shifted version Y_n^i of Y_n, where $i \in N \setminus \{0\}$ is a child of o. Then $Y_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} A_i Y_n^i$. Also, since N and A are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = c \sum_{|\gamma|=n} P_\gamma \mathbb{E}[A] w = cr(m) Y_n = Y_n.$$

Then Y_n is a C_+-valued martingale, hence Y_n converges a.e. to $Y \in C$. By what has been said above, in the limit we have

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} A_i Y^i$$

with $Y^i = \lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n^i$. Also $\mathbb{E}[Y] \in C$ and Y_i's are independent with the same law as Y. So, if $Y \neq 0$, we have a solution of (1.1) and we are led to discuss below the nondegeneracy of Y (Theorem 2.2) and its tail (Theorem 2.3).

Before describing the general case, we recall the previous results concerning the one-dimensional situation. If $d = 1$ and $C = \mathbb{R}_+^*$, nondegeneracy of Y was discussed by Kahane, Peyrière [KP]. Behavior of Y at infinity depends on the properties of the function $v(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\big[\sum_{i=1}^N A_i^\theta\big]$ (here A_i and N can be dependent), see [DL]. Then if $v(1) = 1$, $v'(1) < 0$ and $v(\chi) = 1$ for some $\chi > 1$, Guivarc'h [G] and Liu [L2] proved that if suppμ is non arithmetic, then the tail of Y is homogeneous at infinity i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{\chi}\mathbb{P}[Y > t]$ exists and it is positive. Recently, also asymptotic properties of solutions of (1.1) in the boundary case, when $v'(1) = 0$ were described (see Biggins, Kyprianou [BK] and Buraczewski [Bu])

2. Notations and statements of results

We denote $C_+ = C \setminus \{0\}$ with $d > 1$, S_+ as defined above, $C_1 = \{x \in C; |x| = 1\}$. Let C^0 be the interior of C, $S^0 = \{a \in S; aC_+ \subset C^0\}$. We observe that S is a proper closed convex subcone of End$V$ and, as it is easily shown, S^0 is the interior of S. For $a \in S$ we write $\iota(a) = \inf_{x\in C_1} |ax|$ and, by definition of S_+, we have that $\iota(a) > 0$ for $a \in S_+$. Let $C^* = \{x \in V; \langle x, y\rangle \geq 0$ for any $y \in C\}$. Observe that C^* is a proper closed subcone of V, so we will adopt for C^* similar notations as for C. We write a^* for the dual map of a defined by $\langle a^*x, y\rangle = \langle x, ay\rangle$ $(x, y \in V)$, hence $a^*C^* \subset C^*$. We will consider subsemigroups Γ of S that satisfy condition $(\mathcal{C})$ (compare [H]), i.e.:

a) no subspace $W \subset V$ with $W \cap C \neq \{0\}$ satisfies $\Gamma W \subset W$
b) $\Gamma \cap S^0 \neq \emptyset$.

This condition is an analogue in the cone context of the so called i-p condition in [GL1]. If it holds for Γ and C, it is also valid for Γ^*, C^*. If $a \in S$, we denote by $r(a)$ its spectral radius, i.e. $r(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} |a^n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Let $\mu \in M^1(S)$ be the law of the random endomorphism A. We denote by [suppμ] the smallest closed subsemigroup of S which contains suppμ. We will always assume that [suppμ] satisfies condition $\mathcal{C}$ and $m = \mathbb{E}[A] = \int a\mu(da)$ exists. We observe that if $s \geq 0$, the number $\kappa(s) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[|A_n \dots A_1|^s]^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is well defined on the interval $I_\mu = \{s \geq 0; \mathbb{E}[|A|^s] < \infty\}$. Indeed, since $u_n(s) = \mathbb{E}[|A_n \dots A_1|]$ is submultiplicative, $u_n^{\frac{1}{n}}(s)$ converges to $\inf_{k\geq 1} \mathbb{E}[|A_k \dots A_1|^s]^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Also, the number $\kappa^*(s) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[|A_n^* \dots A_1^*|^s]^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is well defined under the same conditions and $\kappa^*(s) = \kappa(s)$, since $|A^*| = |A|$. In particular, $\kappa(1) < \infty$, i.e. $1 \in I_\mu$ and $\log\kappa(s)$ is convex on I_μ.

As will be seen below, condition $\mathcal{C}$ implies that $m = \mathbb{E}A$ has a unique dominant eigenvector $v \in C_1^0$ with $mv = r(m)v$. The same is true for m^* and we denote by v^* the unique eigenvector of m^* in C_1^*. It will be shown that $\kappa(1) = r(m) = r(m^*)$. By abuse of notation we denote also by v^* the function $v^*(x) = \langle v^*, x\rangle$ on C and we observe that the convolution operator P on C defined by

$$P\phi(x) = \int_S \phi(ax)\mu(da) \tag{2.1}$$

admits an eigenfunction v^* with the eigenvalue $r(m)$. Then we consider the Markov operator Q on C defined by

$$Q\phi = \frac{1}{r(m)v^*} P(\phi v^*)$$

and we will prove below that Q has a unique invariant measure of the form $\pi \otimes l$ where $\pi \in M^1(C_1)$ and $l(dt) = \frac{dt}{t}$. We will be led to consider also the analogous Markov operator on C^* defined by

$$P_*\Phi(x) = \int_S \Phi(a^*x)\mu(da).$$

Then we obtain the following generalization of the result of Kahane and Peyrière [KP]:

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that the semigroup* [suppμ] *satisfies condition* $\mathcal{C}$ *above, the dominant eigenvalue* $r(m)$ *of* $\mathbb{E}[A]$ *satisfies* $\mathbb{E}[N]r(m) = 1$, $N \geq 2$ *a.s. and* $\mathbb{E}[N^2] < \infty$. *Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $\mathbb{E}[Y] = v$.
2. $\mathbb{E}[Y] \neq 0$.
3. *There exists a fixed point* Z *of* (1.1) *with* $\mathbb{E}|Z| < \infty$ *and* $\mathbb{E}Z \neq 0$.

(4) $\kappa'(1^-)<0$.

The derivative $\kappa'(1^-)$ *is given by the following formula*

$$\kappa'(1^-)=\frac{1}{r(m)}\int\frac{\langle v^*,ax\rangle}{\langle v^*,x\rangle}\log\langle v^*,ax\rangle\mu(da)\pi(dx).$$

Moreover if $s>1$, $\mathbb{E}\big[|Z|^s\big]<\infty$ *if and only if* s *satisfies* $\kappa(s)\mathbb{E}[N]<1$.

If $C=\mathbb{R}^d_+$, then repeating the argument given in [G] one can prove that (1.1) has a unique fixed point Z, such that $|\mathbb{E}Z|=1$.

The next theorem contains an asymptotics of the fixed point ρ on C_+ obtained in the theorem above being a generalization of one dimensional results of Guivarc'h [G] and Liu [L2].

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Assume additionally that*

- $N=c\geq 2$ *is constant;*
- $\chi>1$ *exists with* $\kappa(\chi)=1/N$*;*
- $\mathbb{E}\big[|A|^\chi|\log|A||\big]$, $\mathbb{E}\big[|A|^\chi|\log\iota(A)|\big]$ *are both finite;*
- *the law of* Z *gives zero mass to every affine subspace intersecting the cone* C*, i.e. for any* $r>0$ *and any* $u\in C_1^*$, $\mathbb{P}[\langle Z,u\rangle=r]=0$.

Then for every $u\in C^*$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}t^\chi P[\langle Z,u\rangle>t]=D(u)>0,$$

where $D(u)$ *is a* χ*-homogeneous* P_**-harmonic positive function, uniquely defined up to a positive coefficient.*

Remark. The last hypothesis is satisfied e.g. if the support of the measure μ consists of invertible matrices (see Lemma (5.17)).

Notice, that in view of the result of Basrak, Davis and Mikosch [BDM], if χ is noninteger, the latter result implies that ρ is regularly varying, i.e. the family of measures $t^\chi\delta_t\cdot\rho$ converges weakly on $\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}$ to a P-harmonic χ-homogeneous Radon measure.

In the whole paper we will denote by C the cone and sometimes, by abuse of notation also continuous functions. We will use symbol D to denote auxiliary constants, which will appear in the sequel.

3. Transfer operators on projective spaces

In this section we describe some ergodic properties of a class of transfer operators on C_1. We start with the convolution operator P on C_+ defined in (2.1) If $\phi(x)=\psi(\overline{x})|x|^s$ with $\psi\in C(C_1)$ and $\overline{x}=\frac{x}{|x|}\in C_1$ we get an operator P^s on $C(C_1)$ defined by

$$P^s\psi(x)=\int_S|ax|^s\psi(a\cdot x)\mu(da),$$

where $a\cdot x=\frac{ax}{|ax|}\in C_1$. We will be led also to consider the operators P^s_* on $C(C_1^*)$ defined by

$$P^s_*\phi(x)=\int_S|a^*x|^s\phi(a^*\cdot x)\mu(da),\qquad \psi\in C(C_1^*).$$

If $s\geq 0$, these operators have nice contraction properties on suitable functional spaces. These properties are studied in [GL1, GL2] in the slightly different context of invertible maps and projective spaces, under the so called condition i-p for irreducibility and proximality. Here, since C is a proper convex cone, C_1 can be considered as a convex part of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$. However the elements of S_+ are not invertible in general i.e. $S_+ \not\subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Hence we are led to define Γ-invariance of a subspace W as $\Gamma W \subset W$ instead of $\Gamma W = W$ as in [GL1]. However, with this extended concept, the ergodic type results of [GR] (see also [GU]) remain valid here. In condition $\mathcal{C}$ for a semigroup $\Gamma \subset S$, we don't require irreducibility, hence Γ can leave invariant subspace $W \subset V$ with $W \cap C = \{0\}$, but this fact plays no role in our analysis.

Let us give more details. We define the Birkhoff distance β on C_1 as follows: if $x, y \in C_1$, $x \neq y$ and u, v are the intersections of the line xy with the boundary of C, we write $\beta(x,y) = \log(uvxy)$, where $(uvxy)$ is the cross ratio of u, v, x, y. If $a \in S$, we have $a(C) \subset C$, and since C is convex, the Brouwer theorem implies the existence of $v_a \in C_1$, $\lambda_a > 0$ with $av_a = \lambda_a v_a$. If $a \in S^0$, $a \cdot C_1$ is a compact subset of C_1^0, hence the Birkhoff distance β on C_1 is strictly contracted by a coefficient $\rho < 1$ under the action of a; hence (v_a, λ_a) as above are unique, $v_a \in C^o$ and we write $a_+ = v_a$. If $a \in S_+$, $x, y \in C_1$ we have $\beta(a \cdot x, a \cdot y) \leq \beta(x,y)$

We denote $\Lambda(\Gamma) = \mathrm{cl}\{a^+; a \in \Gamma \cap S^0\}$. Then the irreducibility condition in $\mathcal{C}$ implies that $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ generates V as a subspace. Also $\mathcal{C}$ implies a strong irreducibility condition, i.e. if W is a suppμ-invariant finite union of subspaces with $W \cap C \neq \{0\}$, then $W = V$. In fact let $x \in W \cap C$ and $a \in [\mathrm{supp}\mu] \cap S^0$. Then we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} a^n \cdot x = a^+ \in \Lambda([\mathrm{supp}\mu])$. Hence $W \cap C \supset \Lambda([\mathrm{supp}\mu])$. Since $\Lambda([\mathrm{supp}\mu])$ generates V we conclude $W = V$. This property is essential in dealing with measures on C_1. We will say that $\nu \in M^1(C_1)$ is proper if $\nu(W) = 0$ for any subspace $W \subset V$.

Then by translation of the arguments of [Fu, GR, GU] we get

Proposition 3.1. *Assume Γ is a subsemigroup of S which satisfies condition $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is the unique Γ-minimal subset of C_1. If $\mu \in M^1(S)$ is such that $\Gamma = [\mathrm{supp}\mu]$ satisfies $\mathcal{C}$, then there exists a unique μ-stationary measure ν on C_1. The measure ν is proper and* $\mathrm{supp}\nu = \Lambda([\mathrm{supp}\mu])$.

The following Proposition plays an essential role below in the study of the iterates of P^s. The proof is given in [GR] (see also [GU]) in the context of condition i-p (Corollary 4.8). It remains valid here once the irreducibility concept is properly adjusted as above.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume $d > 1$ and Γ is a subsemigroup of S, which satisfies condition $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\{\lambda_a : a \in \Gamma \cap S^0\}$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$.*

Also we have

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $\mu \in M^1(S_+)$ is such that $[\mathrm{supp}\mu]$ satisfies condition $\mathcal{C}$, and let $s \in I_\mu$. Then the equation $P^s\phi = \kappa(s)\phi$ with $\phi \in C(C_1)$ has a unique normalized solution $\phi = e^s$ ($|e^s|_\infty = 1$). The function e^s is strictly positive and $\overline{s}$-Hölder with $\overline{s} = \inf\{1, s\}$. There exists a unique $\nu^s \in M^1(C_1)$ with $P^s\nu^s = \kappa(s)\nu^s$ and we have $\mathrm{supp}\nu^s = \Lambda([\mathrm{supp}\mu])$. If $\nu_*^s \in M^1(C_1^*)$ satisfies $P_*^s\nu_*^s = \kappa(s)\nu_*^s$, then $e^s(x)$ is proportional to $\int \langle x, y\rangle^s \nu_*^s(dy)$. In the same way the equation $P_*^s\Phi = \kappa(s)\Phi$ has a unique normalized solution $\Phi = e_*^s$ ($|e_*^s|_\infty = 1$) and $e_*^s(x)$ is proportional to $\int \langle x, y\rangle^s \nu^s(dy)$.*

The map $s \to \nu^s$ (resp. $s \to e^s$) is continuous in the weak topology (resp. uniform topology) and the function $s \to \kappa(s)$ is strictly convex on I_μ. We have the uniform convergence on $C(C_1)$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(P^s)^n\phi}{\kappa^n(s)} = \frac{\nu^s(\phi)}{\nu^s(e^s)} e^s.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.6 in [GL2] and is inspired by [K1]. We sketch the main arguments as follows.

Find $\sigma \in M^1(C_1)$ with $P^s\sigma = \kappa\sigma$, using the Schauder - Tychonov theorem for the compact convex set $M^1(C_1)$, show σ is proper and deduce that $\kappa = \kappa(s)$. Take $\nu^s = \sigma$ and $\nu_*^s \in M^1(C_1^*)$ with $P_*^s\nu_*^s = \kappa(s)\nu_*^s$. Define $\widetilde{e}^s(x) = \int \langle x, y\rangle^s \nu_*^s(dy)$ and $e^s = \frac{\widetilde{e}^s}{|\widetilde{e}^s|_\infty}$. Then on C_1 one has $P^s e^s = \kappa(s) e^s$.

Replace P^s by the Markov operator Q^s on C_1 defined by $Q^s\phi = \frac{1}{\kappa(s)e^s} P^s(\phi e^s)$. Show, using Γ-minimality of $\Lambda([\mathrm{supp}\mu])$ and Proposition 3.1 that any $\phi \in C(C_1)$ which satisfies $Q^s\phi = z\phi$

($|z|=1$) is constant and $z=1$. This gives us uniqueness of e^s. Show, by direct calculation, the equicontinuity of $\{(Q^s)^n\phi; n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ for any $\phi\in C(C_1)$ and deduce the uniqueness of the stationary measure π^s of Q^s. The uniqueness of ν^s follows, hence the fact that $\mathrm{supp}\nu^s=\Lambda([\mathrm{supp}\mu])$ follows by another use of Schauder - Tychonov theorem in $M^1(\Lambda[\mathrm{supp}\mu])$. The uniform convergence of the iterates of Q^s follows from a classical theorem of M. Rosenblatt [R] applied to Q^s, since $z=1$ is the unique eigenvalue of Q^s with modulus 1 as follows from Proposition 3.2 as indicated above. $\square$

The following lemma seems to be just a technical one, will play a substantial role in the sequel

Lemma 3.4. *The function* $\tau(x)=\inf_{a\in S_+}\frac{|ax|}{|a|}$ *is strictly positive on* C^0. *On every compact subset* $K\subset C^0$, $\inf_{y\in K}\tau(y)>0$.

Proof. We observe that the subset of $\mathrm{End}V$, $S_+^1=\{a\in S_+; |a|=1\}$ is relatively compact, hence its closure $\overline{S}_+^1$ is compact. If $a\in S_+^1$, then $\mathrm{Ker}a\cap C^0=\emptyset$, hence $\mathrm{Ker}a\cap C^0=\emptyset$ if $a\in\overline{S}_+^1$. It follows that, if $x\in C^0$, $|ax|>0$ for any $a\in\overline{S}_+^1$. Since $a\to|ax|$ is continuous on $\overline{S}_+^1$, and for any $a\in S_+$, $\frac{a}{|a|}\in\overline{S}_+^1$, it follows $\tau(x)=\inf_{a\in S_+}\frac{|ax|}{|a|}>0$ is attained. The same argument is valid for the function $(a,x)\to|ax|$ on $\overline{S}_+^1\times K$, hence $\inf_{x\in K}\tau(x)>0$. $\square$

For $s=1$ explicit formulae for $\kappa(1)$ and e^1 can be obtained.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $m=\int a\mu(da)$. *Then for some* $n\geq 1$, $m^n\in S^0$ *and if* $v^*\in C_1^*$ *is the dominant eigenvector of* m^* *we have:* $\kappa(1)=r(m)$, $e^1(x)=\frac{\langle v^*,x\rangle}{|v^*|_\infty}$.

Proof. Since $[\mathrm{supp}\mu]\cap S^0\neq\emptyset$, there exists $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$ such that μ^n is a barycenter with nonzero coefficients of $\mu_0\in M^1(S^0)$ and $\mu_1\in M^1(S)$:

$$\mu^n=u\mu_0+(1-u)\mu_1,\quad u\in(0,1).$$

Then

$$m^n=\int a\mu^n(da)=u\int a\mu_0(da)+(1-u)\int a\mu_1.$$

If $x\in C$:

$$m^nx=u\int ax\mu_0(da)+(1-u)\int ax\mu_1(da).$$

Clearly $ax\in C^0$ if $a\in S^0$, hence by convexity of C^0 and C: $\int ax\mu_0(da)\in C^0$, $\int ax\mu_1(da)\in C$. Since $u>0$, we get $m^nx\in C^0$. The existence and uniqueness of the dominant eigenvector $v\in C_1^0$ for m^n follows. Then, since m and m^n commute, v is also the unique dominant eigenvector for m:

$$\int av\mu(da)=r(m)v.$$

Similar results are valid for $(m^*)^n$ and m^*: m^* has a unique dominant eigenvector v^* which belongs to the interior of C_1^* and $m^*v^*=r(m)v^*$. Since v^* is in the interior of C_1^*, Lemma 3.4 gives a constant D such that for any $a\in S_+$: $|a|=|a^*|\leq D|a^*v^*|$. The same argument as in the proof of the Lemma gives that, if $x\in C_1^0$, there exists $D'>0$ such that for any $v'\in C_1^*$: $|v'|\leq D'\langle v',x\rangle$. It follows $|a|\leq DD'\langle a^*v^*,x\rangle$. Hence for any $n\geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}|A_n\dots A_1|\leq DD'\langle\mathbb{E}(A^*)^nv^*,x\rangle=DD'r^n(m)\langle v^*,x\rangle.$$

In the limit $\kappa(1)\leq r(m)$. On the other hand, for any $n\geq 1$, $|\mathbb{E}A^n|\leq E|A_n\dots A_1|$, hence in the limit $r(m)\leq\kappa(1)$, and finally $r(m)=\kappa(1)$.

Considering the continuous function v^* on C defined by $v^*(x)=\langle v^*,x\rangle$, we have

$$Pv^*(x)=\int\langle v^*,ax\rangle\mu(da)=\langle m^*v^*,x\rangle=r(m)\langle v^*,x\rangle,$$

hence $Pv^* = r(m)v^*$. The uniqueness in Theorem 3.3 gives $e^1(x) = \frac{\langle v^*, x\rangle}{|v^*|_\infty}$. $\square$

In order to go further in the study of $\kappa(s)$ and e^s, we introduce new probability spaces. If $s > 0$, $x \in C_1$, $a \in S$ we write

$$q_n^s(x, a) = \frac{|ax|^s}{\kappa^n(s)} \frac{e^s(a \cdot x)}{e^s(x)}. \tag{3.6}$$

In particular if $n = 1$, $q_1^s(x, a) = q^s(x, a)$. If $\omega \in \Omega = S^{\mathbb{N}^*}$, we write $S_n(\omega) = a_n \dots a_1$, $q_n^s(x, \omega) = q_n^s(x, S_n(\omega))$ and we observe that

$$\int q_n^s(x, \omega)\mu^{\otimes n}(d\omega) = 1.$$

We denote by θ the shift on Ω. Also the system of probability measures $q_n^s(x, \cdot)\mu^{\otimes n}$ is a projective system, hence we can define by Kolmogorov extension theorem its projective limit $\mathbb{Q}_x^s$ on Ω. We write $\mathbb{Q}^s = \int \mathbb{Q}_x^s \pi^s(dx)$ and we observe that $\mathbb{Q}^s$ is shift invariant and ergodic since π^s is the unique Q^s-stationary measure measure on C_1. We denote by $\mathbb{E}_x^s$ the corresponding expectation symbol. Then we have the following analogue of Theorem 3.11 in [GL2]:

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that μ satisfies condition $\mathcal{C}$, $s \in I_\mu$ and $|a|^s \log |a|$, $|a|^s \log \iota(a)$ are μ-integrable. Then, for any $x \in C_1$, $\mathbb{Q}^s$ a.e. and $\mathbb{Q}_x^s$ a.e.*

$$\alpha(s) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |S_n(\omega)x| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |S_n(\omega)|,$$

where

$$\alpha(s) = \int \log |ax| q^s(x, a)\pi^s(dx)\mu(da). \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore the derivative of κ exists and is continuous on I_μ. The left derivative of $\log \kappa(s)$ is finite and given by $\alpha(s) = \frac{\kappa'(s^-)}{\kappa(s)}$. In particular, if $\chi > 1$ and $\kappa(\chi) = \kappa(1)$, then $\kappa'(\chi^-) \in (0, \infty)$.

Here, in view of the cone situation, more elementary arguments than in [GL2] can be used, hence we adapt the proof of [GL2] as follows.

Lemma 3.9. *There exists $d_1 > 0$, such that for any $x \in C_1$: $\mathbb{Q}_x^s \le d_1\mathbb{Q}^s$.*

Proof. From continuity and positivity of e^s on C_1, there exists $d_2 > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in C_1$: $e^s(y) \le d_2 e^s(x)$. Hence, for any $a \in S_+$:

$$q_n^s(x, a) = \frac{1}{\kappa^n(s)} \frac{e^s(a \cdot x)}{e^s(x)} |ax|^s \le \frac{D}{\kappa^n(s)} |a|^s.$$

Since π^s is proper, we have, with some $d_3 > 0$ and $d_1 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |a|^s &\le d_3 \int |ay|^s \pi^s(dy), \\ q_n^s(x, a) &\le \frac{d_2 d_3}{\kappa^n(s)} \int |ay|^s \pi^s(dy) \le d_1 \int q_n^s(y, a)\pi^s(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Let ψ be a nonnegative function on Ω depending of n first coordinates. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_x^s(\psi) &= \int \psi(\omega) q_n^s(x, S_n(\omega))\mu^{\otimes n}(d\omega) \\ &\le d_1 \int\int \psi(\omega) q_n^s(y, S_n(\omega))\pi^s(dy)\mu^{\otimes n}(d\omega) \\ &= d_1 \mathbb{Q}^s(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the arbitrariness of n and ψ the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 3.10. *For any $x \in C_1$ we have $\mathbb{Q}^s$ a.e.* $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|S_n x|}{|S_n|} > 0$.

Proof. We follow arguments of [K1, H]. Condition $\mathcal{C}$ implies existence of $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in$ suppμ such that their product $a_k \cdots a_1$ belongs to S^0. Since $q_k^s(x,\omega) > 0$ we have $\mu^{\otimes k}$ - a.e., $\mathbb{Q}_x^s(S_k(\omega) \in S^0) > 0$. Then Lemma 3.9 implies $\mathbb{Q}^s(S_k(\omega) \in S^0) > 0$. Let $\Omega' = \{\omega \in \Omega; S_k(\omega) \in S^0\}$, $T'(\omega) = \inf\{n \geq 1; \theta^n\omega \in \Omega'\}$, $T(\omega) = \inf\{m \geq 0; S_m(\omega) \in S^0\}$. Since $S_{n+k}(\omega) = S_k(\theta^n\omega)S_n(\omega)$, we have $T(\omega) \leq k + T'(\omega)$. Since $\mathbb{Q}^s(\Omega') > 0$ and θ is ergodic with respect to the invariant measure $\mathbb{Q}^s$, Birkhoff's theorem implies $T'(\omega) < \infty$ a.e., hence $T(\omega) < \infty$ $\mathbb{Q}^s$ - a.e. If $n \geq T$, we can write

$$S_n(\omega)x = A_n \dots A_{T+1}A_T \dots A_1 x$$

hence, since $A_T \dots A_1 x \in C^0$ and using Lemma 3.4,

$$|S_n(\omega)x| \geq |A_n \dots A_{T+1}| c(A_T \dots A_1 x) \geq |S_n(\omega)| \frac{\tau(A_T \dots A_1 x)}{|A_T \dots A_1|},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{|S_n(\omega)x|}{|S_n(\omega)|} \geq \frac{\tau(A_T \dots A_1 x)}{|A_T \dots A_1|} > 0, \quad \mathbb{Q}^s \text{ a.e.}$$

On the other hand

$$\inf_{0\leq n<T} \frac{|S_n(\omega)x|}{|S_n(\omega)|} > 0.$$

It follows $\mathbb{Q}^s$ a.e.:

$$\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|S_n(\omega)x|}{|S_n(\omega)|} > 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.7. We consider the space $\widehat{\Omega} = C_1 \times \Omega$ and the extended shift $\widehat{\theta}$:

$$\widehat{\theta}(x,\omega) = (a_1 \cdot x, \theta\omega).$$

Then the probability measure

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^s = \int (\delta_x \otimes \delta_\omega)\mathbb{Q}_x^s(d\omega)\pi^s(dx)$$

is $\widehat{\theta}$ - invariant and ergodic since $\widehat{\Omega}$ can be identified with the space of paths of the Markov chain defined by Q^s and using Theorem 3.3, π^s is its unique stationary measure. We observe that $f(x,\omega) = \log|a_1(\omega)x|$ satisfies $\log\iota(a) \leq f(x,\omega) \leq \log|a|$, hence the μ - integrability of $f(x,\omega)$. Then Birkhoff's theorem gives $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ - a.e.:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\log|S_n(\omega)x| = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_1^\infty f \circ \widehat{\theta}^k(x,\omega) = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^s(f) = \alpha(s).$$

On the other hand the subadditive ergodic theorem can be applied to the ergodic system $(\Omega, \theta, \mathbb{Q}^s)$ and the sequence $\log|S_n(\omega)|$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log|S_n(\omega)| = \alpha_s, \quad \mathbb{Q}^s \text{ a.e.},$$

where the convergence is also valid in $L^1(\mathbb{Q}^s)$.

For arbitrary $x \in C_1$ and using Lemma 3.10

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log|S_n(\omega)x| = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log|S_n(\omega)| + \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{|S_n(\omega)x|}{|S_n(\omega)|} = \alpha_s, \quad \mathbb{Q}^s \text{ a.e.}$$

Lemma 3.9 gives $\mathbb{Q}_x^s \leq d_1 \mathbb{Q}^s$, hence the above convergence is also valid $\mathbb{Q}_x^s$ a.e., hence $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}^s$ a.e. Then the above convergences imply $\alpha_s = \alpha(s)$, i.e. the first part of Theorem 3.7.

In order to prove the second part we show

$$\log \kappa(s) = \int_0^s \alpha(t) dt.$$

We consider

$$v_n(s) = \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\int |ax|^s \mu^n(da) \right).$$

We observe that

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^n(s)} \int |ax|^s \mu^n(da) = e^s(x) (Q^s)^n (1/e^s)(x)$$

is bounded from below and from above. Hence $\log \kappa(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(s)$. Furthermore, Theorem 3.3 gives the uniform convergence of $(Q^s)^n \phi$ to $\pi^s(\phi)$, if $\phi \in C(C_1)$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^n(s)} \int |ax|^s \mu^n(da) = e^s(x) \pi^s(1/e^s).$$

We write

$$v_n'(s) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\kappa^n(s)} \int |ax|^s \log |ax| \mu^n(da)}{\frac{1}{\kappa^n(s)} \int |ax|^s \mu^n(da)}$$

and we observe that

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^n(s)} \int |ax|^s \log |ax| \mu^n(da) = e^s(x) \mathbb{E}_x^s \left[\frac{1}{e^s(S_n \cdot x) \log |S_n x|} \right].$$

From the $L^1(\mathbb{Q}_x^s)$ convergence above we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \left| \frac{1}{n} \log |S_n(\omega) x| - \alpha(s) \right| \mathbb{Q}_x^s(d\omega) = 0.$$

Applying again Theorem 3.3 to $\phi \in C(C_1)$:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_x^s \Big[\phi(S_n \cdot x) \log |S_n x| \Big] = \alpha(s) \pi^s(\phi),$$

hence taking $\phi = \frac{1}{e^s}$:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n \kappa^n(s)} \int |ax|^s \log |ax| \mu^n(da) = e^s(x) \alpha(s) \pi^s(1/e^s).$$

Therefore, from the expression of $v_n'(s)$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n'(s) = \alpha(s).$$

Clearly $v_n(t)$ is convex and has a continuous derivative on $[0, s]$, hence $v_n'(0) \leq v_n'(t) \leq v_n'(s)$ and $v_n(s) = \int_0^s v_n'(t) dt$. By dominated convergence we conclude

$$\log \kappa(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(s) = \int_0^s \alpha(t) dt.$$

The expression of $\alpha(s)$, the continuity in s of $q^s(x, a)$, π^s and the inequality $\log \iota(a) \leq \log |ax| \leq \log |a|$ allow to conclude that the left derivative of $\log \kappa(s)$ is equal to $\alpha(s)$. Since $\alpha(s)$ is continuous on I_μ, we get also that $\kappa(s)$ has a continuous derivative on I_μ, and $\frac{\kappa'(s)}{\kappa(s)} = \alpha(s)$, if $s \in [0, s_\infty)$. The convexity of $\log \kappa(s)$ gives for $\kappa(s) = 1$, $\kappa'(\alpha^-) > 0$. $\square$

4. Mandelbrot's cascades - Proof of Theorem 2.2

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Equivalence of conditions (1), (2) and (3) follows easily from the construction of Mandelbrot's cascade. For the remaining part of the proof we will apply arguments of Kahane and Peyrière [KP] to our settings. First we will prove that (4) implies (1). Assume $\kappa'(1^-) < 0$. We will use the following inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_j\right)^h \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_j^h - 2(1-h)\sum_{i<j}(y_i y_j)^{\frac{h}{2}}$$

which is valid for $y_i > 0$ and $h \in (1-\varepsilon, 1]$ for some small ε independent on k (see [KP], Lemma C). Then, for $x_i \in C$, $i = 1, ..., k$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{e}^h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i\right) &= \int_{C_1^*} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i, u \right\rangle^h \nu_*^h(du) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \widetilde{e}^h(x_i) - 2(1-h)\sum_{i<j}\int_{C_1^*} \langle x_i, u\rangle^{\frac{h}{2}} \langle x_j, u\rangle^{\frac{h}{2}} \nu_*^h(du) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \widetilde{e}^h(x_i) - 2(1-h)\sum_{i<j}\left(\int_{C_1^*} \langle x_i, u\rangle^h \nu_*^h(du)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C_1^*} \langle x_j, u\rangle^h \nu_*^h(du)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \widetilde{e}^h(x_i) - 2(1-h)\sum_{i<j} \widetilde{e}^h(x_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{e}^h(x_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for Y_n and Y_{n-1}^i defined in the Introduction, we have

$$\widetilde{e}^h(Y_n) = \widetilde{e}^h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i Y_{n-1}^i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widetilde{e}^h\big(A_i Y_{n-1}^i\big) - 2(1-h)\sum_{i<j} \widetilde{e}^h\big(A_i Y_{n-1}^i\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{e}^h\big(A_j Y_{n-1}^j\big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Taking expected value of both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Y_n)\big] &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Y_n)\big|N\big]\Big] \\
&\geq \mathbb{E}\Bigg[\mathbb{E}\bigg[N\kappa(h)\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Y_{n-1})\big] - N(N-1)(1-h)\bigg(\mathbb{E}\Big[\widetilde{e}^h(A_1 Y_{n-1}^1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\bigg)^2 \bigg| N\bigg]\Bigg] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\big[N\big]\kappa(h)\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Y_{n-1})\big] - (1-h)\mathbb{E}\big[N(N-1)\big]\bigg(\mathbb{E}\Big[\widetilde{e}^h(A_1 Y_{n-1}^1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\bigg)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for every $y \in C_1^*$, $\langle Y_n, y\rangle$ is a martingale, so $\langle Y_n, y\rangle^h$ is a supermartingale hence $\mathbb{E}\big[\langle Y_n, y\rangle^h\big] \leq \mathbb{E}\big[\langle Y_{n-1}, y\rangle^h\big]$. Integrating both sides with respect to $\nu_*^h(dy)$ we obtain $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{e}^h(Y_n)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\widetilde{e}^h(Y_{n-1})]$. Therefore

$$\bigg(\mathbb{E}\Big[e^h(A_1 Y_{n-1}^1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\bigg)^2 \geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}[N]\kappa(h) - 1)\mathbb{E}[e^h(Y_{n-1})]}{\mathbb{E}[N(N-1)](1-h)}$$

and going with h to the left limit at 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\int_{C_1^*}\langle A_0Y_{n-1},u\rangle\nu_*^1(du)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\right)^2 &= \left(\mathbb{E}\Big[e^1(A_0Y_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\right)^2\\ &\geq -\frac{\kappa'(1^-)\mathbb{E}[N]}{\mathbb{E}[N(N-1)]}\cdot\mathbb{E}\big[e^1(Y_{n-1})\big]\\ &= -\frac{\kappa'(1^-)\mathbb{E}[N]}{\mathbb{E}[N(N-1)]}\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{C_1^*}\langle Y_{n-1},u\rangle\nu_*^1(du)\Big]\\ &= -\frac{\kappa'(1^-)\mathbb{E}[N]}{\mathbb{E}[N(N-1)]}\int_{C_1^*}\langle v,u\rangle\nu_*^1(du) = |v|^2\cdot D > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Define now $W_n = \int_{C_1^*}\langle A_0Y_n,u\rangle\nu_*^1(du)$. Then W_n is a positive martingale and it converges pointwise to $W = \int_{C_1^*}\langle A_0Y,u\rangle\nu_*^1(du)$. Hence the sequence $(W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ converges pointwise to $(W)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and we will prove that the convergence holds also in the norm. For this purpose it is enough to observe that the family of random variables $\{(W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$ is uniformly integrable. Indeed since for any positive x

$$x\mathbb{P}[W_n > x] \leq \mathbb{E}\big[W_n\big] = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[N]}\int_{C_1^*}\langle v,u\rangle\nu_*^1(du) = D_2$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\big[W_n\big] = P^1e^1(v) = \frac{e^1(v)}{\mathbb{E}[N]} = D_3$$

we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\sup_n\mathbb{E}\Big[(W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\{W_n>x\}}\Big] \leq \lim_{x\to\infty}\sup_n\Big(\mathbb{E}\big[W_n\big]\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{P}[W_n > x]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\sqrt{D_3}\cdot D_2}{\sqrt{x}} = 0.$$

Therefore, since $W_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a supermartingale bounded in L^2,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[(W)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big] = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big[(W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big] \geq \sqrt{D}$$

and so, the random variable Y cannot be degenerate.

Finally we prove (3) implies (4). We proceed as in [KP]. Suppose now Z is a fixed point of (1.1). We will use the following inequalities

$$(x+y)^h \leq x^h + hy^h, \quad \text{for } x \geq y > 0, 0 < h < 1$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[X^h\mathbf{1}_{X'\geq X}] \geq \varepsilon\mathbb{E}[X^h],$$

for real valued independent random variables X, X', $0 < h < 1$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$ (see Lemmas A and B in [KP])

Let $\{Z_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent copies of Z. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{e}^h(A_1Z_1 + A_2Z_2) \leq &\int_{C_1^*}\mathbf{1}_{\{\langle A_1Z_1,u\rangle\leq\langle A_2Z_2,u\rangle\}}(h\langle A_1Z_1,u\rangle^h + \langle A_2Z_2,u\rangle^h)\nu_*^h(du)\\ &+ \int_{C_1^*}\mathbf{1}_{\{\langle A_1Z_1,u\rangle>\langle A_2Z_2,u\rangle\}}(\langle A_1Z_1,u\rangle^h + h\langle A_2Z_2,u\rangle^h)\nu_*^h(du).\end{aligned}$$

For $h \le 1$ the function $\widetilde{e}^h$ is subadditive hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big] &= \mathbb{E}\bigg[\mathbb{E}\bigg[\widetilde{e}^h\Big(\sum_{i=1}^N A_i Z_i\Big)\bigg|N\bigg]\bigg]\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\bigg[\sum_{i=3}^N E\Big[\widetilde{e}^h(A_i Z_i)\Big] + \mathbb{E}\Big[\widetilde{e}^h(A_1 Z_1 + A_2 Z_2)\Big]\bigg|N\bigg]\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\big[N\big]\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(A_1 Z_1)\big] - 2(1-h)\mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{C_1^*} \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle A_1 Z_1, u\rangle \le \langle A_2 Z_2, u\rangle\}}\langle A_1 Z_1, u\rangle^h \nu_*^h(du)\bigg]\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\big[N\big]\kappa(h)\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big] - 2(1-h)\varepsilon\kappa(h)\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$2\varepsilon\kappa(h)\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[N]\kappa(h) - 1}{1-h}\cdot\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big]$$

and passing with h to 1 from below

$$\frac{2\varepsilon\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^1(Z)\big]}{\mathbb{E}[N]} \le -\mathbb{E}[N]\kappa'(1^-)\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^1(Z)\big].$$

Then, since $\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^1(Z)\big]$ is nonzero

$$\kappa'(1^-) \le -\frac{2\varepsilon}{\mathbb{E}[N]^2}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 4.1. $\mathbb{E}|Z|^h < \infty$ *if and only if* $\kappa(h) < \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[N]}$.

Proof. As above be denote by $\{Z_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of independent copies of Z. If $\mathbb{E}|Z|^h < \infty$ then also $\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big] < \infty$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big] &= \mathbb{E}\bigg[\mathbb{E}\bigg[\int_{C_1}\Big\langle\sum_{i=1}^N A_i Z_i, u\Big\rangle^h \nu^h(du)\bigg|N\bigg]\bigg]\\
&> \mathbb{E}\bigg[\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(A_i Z_i)\big]\bigg] = \mathbb{E}\big[N\big]\kappa(h)\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big]
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{e}^h(Z)\big] \ne 0$, we deduce $\kappa(h) < \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[N]}$.

We omit the converse implication since the argument is exactly the same as in [KP], p. 137. $\square$

5. The Renewal equation and proof of Theorem 2.3

5.1. **Kesten's renewal theorem.** The main tool we will use to prove Theorem 2.3 is Kesten's renewal theorem [K2] and here we are going to check that its assumptions are satisfied in our settings. For reader's convenience we state here all the details in the case the state space S in [K2] is the compact subset C_1 of S^{d-1} endowed with the metric $d(x,y) = |x-y|$. First we introduce some definitions.

Fix $x \in C_1$ and define $X_0(\omega) = x$, $X_n(\omega) = a_n(\omega)\cdot X_{n-1}(\omega) = S_n(\omega)\cdot x$ and $V_n(\omega) = \log|S_n(\omega)x| = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i(\omega)$, for $U_i(\omega) = \log|a_n(\omega)X_{n-1}(\omega)|$. Let $F(dt|x,y)$ be the conditional law of U_1, given $X_0 = x$, $X_1 = y$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{Q}_x\big[X_1 \in A, U_1 \in B\big] = \int_A\int_B F(dt|x,y)Q(x,dy).$$

Next we define a family of subsets of C_1

$$D_k = \left\{ x \in C_1 : \ \mathbb{Q}_x \Big[V_m \geq \frac{m}{k}, \forall m \geq k \Big] \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Of course D_k is an increasing family. We put $D_0 = \emptyset$. We say that a function $g : C_1 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is directly Riemann integrable (dRi) if it is $\mathcal{B}(C_1) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable and satisfies

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} (k+1) \sup \Big\{ |g(x,t)| : \ x \in D_{k+1} \setminus D_k, l \leq t \leq l+1 \Big\} < \infty \tag{5.1}$$

and if for every fixed $x \in C_1$ and the function $t \mapsto g(x,t)$ is Riemann integrable on $[-L, L]$, for $0 < L < \infty$.

Theorem 5.2 ([K2])**.** *Assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- **Condition I.1** *There exists* $\pi \in M^1(C_1)$ *such that* $\pi Q = \pi$ *and for every open set* O *with* $\pi(O) > 0$*,* $\mathbb{Q}_x[X_n \in O$ *for some* $n] = 1$ *for every* $x \in C_1$*.*
- **Condition I.2**

  $$\int |t| F(dt|x,y) Q(x,dy) \pi(dx) < \infty$$

  and for all $x \in C_1$*,* $\mathbb{Q}_x$ *- a.e.:*

  $$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{V_n}{n} = \alpha = \int t F(dt|x,y) Q(x,dy) \pi(dx) > 0.$$

- **Condition I.3** *There exists a sequence* $\{\zeta_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ *such that the group generated by* ζ_i *is dense in* $\mathbb{R}$ *and such that for each* ζ_i *and* $\lambda > 0$ *there exists* $y = y(i,\lambda) \in C_1$ *with the following property: for each* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $A \in \mathcal{B}(C_1)$ *with* $\pi(A) > 0$ *and* $m_1, m_2 \in N$*,* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that for any* $x \in A$*:*

$$\mathbb{Q}_x \big\{ d(X_{m_1}, y) < \varepsilon, |V_{m_1} - \tau| \leq \lambda \big\} > 0 \tag{5.3}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_x \big\{ d(X_{m_2}, y) < \varepsilon, |V_{m_2} - \tau - \zeta_i| \leq \lambda \big\} > 0 \tag{5.4}$$

- **Condition I.4** *For each fixed* $x \in C_1$*,* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $r_0 = r_0(x,\varepsilon)$ *such that all real valued* $f \in \mathcal{B}((C_1 \times \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{N}})$ *and for all* y *with* $d(x,y) < r_0$ *one has:*

  $$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_y f(X_0, V_0, X_1, V_1, \ldots) &\leq \mathbb{E}_x f^{\varepsilon}(X_0, V_0, X_1, V_1, \ldots) + \varepsilon |f|_{\infty}, \\ \mathbb{E}_x f(X_0, V_0, X_1, V_1, \ldots) &\leq \mathbb{E}_y f^{\varepsilon}(X_0, V_0, X_1, V_1, \ldots) + \varepsilon |f|_{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

  where

  $$f^{\varepsilon}(x_0, v_0, x_1, v_1, \ldots) = \sup \big\{ f^{\varepsilon}(y_0, u_0, y_1, u_1, \ldots) : \ |x_i - y_i| + |v_i - u_i| < \varepsilon \text{ if } i \in \mathbb{N} \big\}$$

If a function $g : C_1 \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ *is jointly continuous and directly Riemann integrable, then for every* $x \in C_1$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x \Big[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(X_n, t - V_n) \Big] = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{C_1} \pi^s(dy) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y,s) ds.$$

From now we will study the measures $\mathbb{Q}_x^{\chi}$ for $\chi > 1$ defined in the statement of Theorem 2.3, i.e. such that $\kappa(\chi) = \frac{1}{c}$. They will play the role of $\mathbb{Q}_x$ in the Kesten renewal theorem. Let $\alpha = \alpha(\chi)$ (see (3.8) for the definition of $\alpha(\chi)$). The existence of χ is assumed in Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 5.5. *Under hypotheses of Theorem (2.3), conditions I.1 - I.4 are satisfied by the measures* $\mathbb{Q}_x^{\chi}$*.*

Proof. **Condition I.1:** Since C_1 is compact, I.1 can be replaced by uniqueness of the Q^χ-stationary measure π^χ. This follows from the law of large numbers for Markov chains with a unique stationary measure: for any continuous function ϕ on a compact space (see [B]) we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\phi(X_k)=\pi^\chi(\phi)\quad \mathbb{Q}_x^\chi \text{ a.s.}$$

for all $x\in C_1$. In our situation Theorem 3.3 implies that this condition is satisfied.

Condition I.2: By (3.6) and hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int |t|F(dt|x,y)Q^\chi(x,dy)\pi^\chi(dx) &= \int |\log|ax||q^\chi(x,a)\mu(da)\pi^\chi(dx)\\ &\le D\int |\log|ax|||a|^\chi\mu(da)\pi^\chi(dx)\\ &\le D\int \max\big\{|\log|a||,|\log\iota(a)|\big\}|a|^\chi\mu(da)\pi^\chi(dx)<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

The second part follows immediately from Theorem 3.7.

Condition I.3: Since μ satisfies condition $\mathcal{C}$, by Proposition 3.2 we know that the set

$$\Delta=\{\log\lambda_a:\ a\in\Gamma^{\rm prox}\},$$

where $\Gamma=[\mathrm{supp}\mu]$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma^{\rm prox}$ denotes the set of proximal elements in Γ. Let $\{\zeta_i\}$ be a dense, countable subset of Δ. Fix $\zeta_i=\log\lambda_a\in\Delta$, with $a=U_1\ldots U_n$, $U_j\in\mathrm{supp}\mu$ $(1\le j\le n)$ and fix λ. Let $y=y(\zeta_i,\delta)=v_a\in\mathrm{supp}\nu=\Lambda(\Gamma)$ be the dominant eigenvector of a. If ε is sufficiently small and $B_\varepsilon=\{x\in C_1:\ |x-v_a|\le\varepsilon\}$, then $a\cdot B_\varepsilon\subset B_{\varepsilon'}$ for $\varepsilon'<\varepsilon$ and

$$\big|\log\lambda_a-\log|ax|\big|<\lambda\quad\text{ for } x\in B_\varepsilon.$$

Moreover the statement above remains valid if we replace a by some a' sufficiently close to a. Therefore if $x\in B_\varepsilon$ and n as above:

$$\mu^{\otimes n}\Big[|S_n\cdot x-v_a|<\varepsilon,\big|\log|S_nx|-\log\lambda_a\big|<\lambda\Big]>0.$$

By definition, $\mathbb{Q}_x^\chi$ restricted to the first n coordinates is equivalent to $\mu^{\otimes n}$, hence (5.4) is satisfied for $m_2=n$ and $\tau=0$; also (5.3) is satisfied for $m_1=0$, i.e. by Proposition 3.1, $v_a\in C_1$. We may take $A=B_\varepsilon(v_a)$, then if $x\in B_\varepsilon(v_a)$ and $\tau=0$

$$\mathbb{Q}_x^\chi\big[|X_0-v_a|<\varepsilon,V_0\le\lambda\big]>0.$$

Condition I.4: The proof is a consequence of Lemma 3.10 and the argument given by Kesten ([K1], p. 217-218). ☐

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Now we are going to prove Theorem 2.3 applying Kesten's theorem for appropriately chosen function g. First notice that due to the compactness of C_1, the condition of directly Riemann integrable functions is closely related to the classical one introduced by Feller [Fe].

Lemma 5.6. *If $h\in C_b(C_1\times\mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\sup\Big\{|h(x,t)|:\ x\in C_1,t\in[l,l+1]\Big\}<\infty,\tag{5.7}$$

then h is directly Riemann integrable (with respect to the measure $\mathbb{Q}^\chi$).

Proof. We will prove that if we take sufficiently large k, then $D_k = C_1$ and thus the sum over k in (5.1) is indeed finite and (5.7) implies (5.1).

Take $\delta = \frac{1}{2d_1}$, where d_1 is as in Lemma 3.9. Define a random variable $Z(x) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|S_n x|}{|S_n|}$. In view of Lemma 3.10, $Z(x)$ is strictly positive, $\mathbb{Q}^\chi$ a.e. Let $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dense countable subset of C_1. Then, for every w_i there exists $\varepsilon(w_i) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{Q}^\chi[Z(w_i) \le \varepsilon(w_i)] \le \frac{\delta}{2^{i+1}}.$$

By compactness of C_1 there exists a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_K\}$ of the sequence $\{w_i\}$ such that the balls $B(x_i, \varepsilon(x_i)/2)$ cover C_1 and moreover

$$\mathbb{Q}^\chi\big[Z(x_i) \le \varepsilon(x_i),\ \text{for some } i \le K\big] < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Since, by Theorem 3.7, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |S_n(\omega)x_i| = \alpha > 0$, $\mathbb{Q}^\chi$ a.s. for every i, there exists k such that the set

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{\omega :\ \frac{\log|S_n(\omega)x_i|}{n} > \frac{2}{k} \text{ and } Z(x_i) > \varepsilon(x_i) \text{ for } n \ge k, 1 \le i \le K\right\}$$

satisfies

$$\mathbb{Q}^\chi(\Omega_1) > 1 - \delta.$$

We will show that the number k is exactly the index we are looking for.

Now take arbitrary $y \in C_1$ and x_i such that $y \in B(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon(x_i)}{2})$. Notice that

$$\left|\frac{|S_n(\omega)y|}{|S_n(\omega)x_i|} - 1\right| \le \frac{\varepsilon(x_i)}{2}\frac{|S_n(\omega)|}{|S_n(\omega)x_i|} \le \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega_1, n \ge k.$$

Notice $|\log x| < 2|x-1|$ for $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$, hence

$$\log \frac{|S_n(\omega)y|}{|S_n(\omega)x_i|} \ge -1 \qquad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega_1, n \ge k,$$

that implies

$$\frac{\log|S_n(\omega)y|}{n} \ge \frac{\log|S_n(\omega)x_i|}{n} - \frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{k} \qquad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega_1, n \ge k.$$

Therefore $\mathbb{Q}^\chi(\Omega_2) > 1 - \delta$ for

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{\omega :\ \frac{\log|S_n(\omega)y|}{n} > \frac{1}{k} \text{ for } n > k\right\}$$

and finally, by Lemma 3.9

$$\mathbb{Q}_y^\chi(\Omega_2) = 1 - \mathbb{Q}_y^\chi(\Omega_2^c) \ge 1 - d_1\mathbb{Q}^\chi(\Omega_2^c) \ge 1 - d_1\delta = \frac{1}{2},$$

thus $y \in D_k$. ☐

To prove Theorem 2.3 we need to consider the action of matrices on the dual cone C^*. Therefore we are led to define on Ω measures $\mathbb{Q}_x^{\chi,*}$, using the function e_*^χ instead of the function e^χ, and the corresponding expectation symbol $\mathbb{E}_x^{\chi,*}$. Since the condition $\mathcal{C}$ is valid for $[\mathrm{supp}\mu]^*$ and C^* all the results proved up to now concerning measures $\mathbb{Q}_x^\chi$ hold also for $\mathbb{Q}_x^{\chi,*}$.

Fix $u \in C_1^*$. Let X be a solution of (1.1). We denote by $X_1, .., X_N$ independent copies of X and by $A_1, .., A_N$ independent random variables distributed according to μ. Recall that we assume N to be a constant. We define two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^+$, ν_u - the law of $\langle X, u\rangle$ and β_u - the law of $\sum_{i=2}^N \langle A_i X_i, u\rangle$. For $h(t) = t$, let $\eta_u = h\nu_u$ be a positive measure on $\mathbb{R}^+$. Denote $\phi(u) = \eta_u(\mathbb{R}^+) = \nu_u(h)$ for $u \in C_1^*$. By Theorem 2.2 the function ϕ is well defined. One can prove that ϕ is an eigenfunction of P_*^1, thus $\phi = De_*^1$ for some positive constant D, but this fact will not play any role in our considerations.

Define $f(u,r) = \eta_u(r,\infty)$. Our aim is to prove that the limit $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{\chi-1} f(u,r)$ exists. Indeed, we will prove a stronger result. Namely, let

$$G(u,t) = \frac{e^{t(\chi-1)}}{e_*^{\chi}(u)}\, f(u,e^t),$$

be a function on $C_1^* \times \mathbb{R}$. Then our aim is to show that for every $u \in C_1^*$ the limit

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} G(u,t)$$

exists, is strictly positive and does not depend on u.

First we prove that G is a potential of some function g.

Lemma 5.8. *We have*

$$G(u,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_u^{\chi,*} g(X_n, t - V_n), \tag{5.9}$$

for

$$g(u,t) = \frac{N}{e_*^{\chi}(u)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} e^{t(\chi-1)} \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(e^t-y,e^t)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]\beta_u(dy). \tag{5.10}$$

In particular the function g is positive.

Proof. On the set of measurable function on $C_1^* \times \mathbb{R}$ we define the Markov operator Θ by

$$\Theta h(u,t) = \mathbb{E}_u^{\chi,*}\big[h(X_1, t - V_1)\big]$$

and let

$$g(u,t) = G(u,t) - \Theta G(u,t). \tag{5.11}$$

We will prove below that g satisfies (5.10). Notice first that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta G(u,t) &= \mathbb{E}_u^{\chi,*}\Big[G(A\cdot u, t - \log|Au|)\Big]\\
&= \mathbb{E}\bigg[\frac{1}{e_*^{\chi}(A^*\cdot u)}\frac{e^{t(\chi-1)}}{|A^*u|^{\chi-1}} f\Big(A^*\cdot u, \frac{e^t}{|A^*u|}\Big)\ \frac{1}{\kappa(\chi)}|A^*u|^{\chi}\frac{e_*^{\chi}(A^*\cdot u)}{e_*^{\chi}(u)}\bigg]\\
&= \frac{Ne^{t(\chi-1)}}{e_*^{\chi}(u)}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(\frac{e^t}{|A^*u|},\infty)}(\langle X, A^*\cdot u\rangle)\langle X, A^*\cdot u\rangle|A^*u|\Big]\\
&= \frac{Ne^{t(\chi-1)}}{e_*^{\chi}(u)}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(e^t,\infty)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
G(u,t) &= \frac{1}{e_*^{\chi}(u)} e^{t(\chi-1)} f(u,e^t)\\
&= \frac{1}{e_*^{\chi}(u)} e^{t(\chi-1)}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(e^t,\infty)}(\langle X,u\rangle)\langle X,u\rangle\Big]\\
&= \frac{N}{e_*^{\chi}(u)} e^{t(\chi-1)}\mathbb{E}\bigg[\mathbf{1}_{(e^t,\infty)}\bigg(\bigg\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i X_i, u\bigg\rangle\bigg)\langle A_1X_1,u\rangle\bigg]\\
&= \frac{N}{e_*^{\chi}(u)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{t(\chi-1)}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(e^t,\infty)}(\langle A_1X_1,u\rangle + y)\langle A_1X_1,u\rangle\Big]\beta_u(dy)\\
&= \Theta G(u,t) + g(u,t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Combining (5.11) with (5.12) and (5.13) we obtain (5.10). Next iterating the equation (5.11) we obtain

$$G(u,t) = \Theta^n G(u,t) + g(u,t) + \Theta g(u,t) + \cdots + \Theta^{n-1}(g)(u,t). \tag{5.14}$$

Therefore to prove (5.9) it is enough to show that $\Theta^n G$ converges to 0 as n goes to ∞. Notice

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta^n G(u,t) &= \mathbb{E}_u^{\chi,*}\Big[G(X_n, t-V_n)\Big]\\
&= \mathbb{E}_u^{*}\bigg[G(X_n, t-V_n)\ \frac{e_*^\chi(S_n\cdot u)}{\kappa^n(\chi)e_*^\chi(u)}|S_n u|^\chi\bigg]\\
&= \frac{N^n}{e_*^\chi(u)}\mathbb{E}_u^{*}\bigg[\frac{e^{t(\chi-1)}}{e_*^\chi(S_n\cdot u)|S_n u|^{\chi-1}}\ \mathbf{1}_{(\frac{e^t}{|S_n u|},\infty)}(\langle X, X_n\rangle)\langle X, X_n\rangle\ e_*^\chi(S_n\cdot u)|S_n u|^\chi\bigg]\\
&= \frac{N^n e^{t(\chi-1)}}{e_*^\chi(u)}\mathbb{E}_u^{*}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(e^t,\infty)}(\langle S_n^* X, u\rangle)\langle S_n^* X, u\rangle\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the expected value. Choose a positive p satisfying $\max\{1, \chi - 1/2\} < p < \chi$. Then for $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{N}$, by independence of S_n and X we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_u\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(e^t,\infty)}(\langle S_n X, u\rangle)\langle S_n X, u\rangle\Big] &\le \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}\Big[e^t 2^k \le \langle S_n X, u\rangle \le e^t 2^{k+1}\Big]e^t 2^{k+1}\\
&\le \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}\Big[\langle S_n X, u\rangle \ge e^t 2^k\Big]e^t 2^{k+1}\\
&\le \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{e^t 2^{k+1}}{e^{pt}2^{pk}}\mathbb{E}\big[|S_n|^p\big]\mathbb{E}\big[|X|^p\big]\\
&\le D e^{(1-p)t}\Big(\frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon\Big)^n \mathbb{E}\big[|X|^p\big],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

(we use here the definition of κ and Lemma 4.1). Therefore for fixed t and u

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Theta^n G(u,t) \le \lim_{n\to\infty} D N^n\Big(\frac{1}{N} - \varepsilon\Big)^n e^{(1-p)t}e^{(\chi-1)t} = 0$$

and letting n go to infinity in (5.14) we prove the Lemma. □

Now we would like to apply the renewal theorem (Theorem 5.2), however we do not know whether the function g is jointly continuous and directly Riemann integrable. To overcome this difficulty we proceed as Goldie [Go], i.e. given a function h on $C_1^* \times \mathbb{R}$ we define the smoothing operator

$$\widetilde{h}(u,t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{s-t}h(u,s)ds.$$

From now, instead of g and G we will consider their smoothed versions $\widetilde{g}$ and $\widetilde{G}$. It follows immediately from (5.9) that $\widetilde{G}$ is the potential of $\widetilde{g}$:

$$\widetilde{G}(u,t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathbb{E}_u^{\chi,*}\widetilde{g}(X_n, t - V_n).$$

But now it turns out that $\widetilde{g}$ is both jointly continuous and directly Riemann integrable. Therefore in view of Kesten's renewal theorem we are able to describe behavior of $\widetilde{G}$ at infinity.

Continuity of $\widetilde{g}$ is a consequence of the last hypothesis of Theorem 2.3:

Lemma 5.16. *Under hypotheses of Theorem* 2.3 *the function* $\widetilde{g}$ *is jointly continuous.*

Proof. Since for every positive r and $u \in C_1^*$, $\mathbb{P}[\langle X,u\rangle = r] = 0$, it follows that the function $u \mapsto \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{1}_{(r,\infty)}(\langle X,u\rangle)\langle X,u\rangle\big]$ is continuous. Then continuity of $\widetilde{g}$ follows immediately from the definition of G and (5.11) but with g, G replaced by $\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{G}$. ☐

Now we prove that assumptions of Theorem 2.3 can be weaken.

Lemma 5.17. *If the support of the measure μ consists of invertible matrices and condition $\mathcal{C}$ is satisfied, then for all positive r and any $u \in C_1^*$, $\mathbb{P}[\langle X,u\rangle = r] = 0$.*

Proof. Let ν be a fixed point of T. We consider an affine recursion associated with (T,ν) and we use the same argument as in [BDG], Lemma 2.5, with a few complements. Let Z, Z_i $(1 \le i \le N)$ be i.i.d random variables with law ν, $B = \sum_{i=2}^N A_i Z_i$. Let us write the fixed point equation (1.1) as $Z =_d A_1 Z_1 + B$. Denote by η the law of B and let us consider the probability measure $p = \mu \otimes \eta$ on the affine group $H = \mathrm{Gl(V)} \ltimes V$.

We first show that the action of the support of p on V has no fixed points. Otherwise, for some $x \in V$ and p a.e., $(a,b) \in H$ we have $x = ax + b$. Hence μ a.e., for some fixed y, $ax = y$ and $b = x - y$. In other words η is the unit Dirac mass at $x - y$. This gives that the law of $A_1 Z_1$ is the Dirac unit mass at $\frac{x-y}{N-1}$, hence $\nu = \delta_z$ with $(\mathrm{supp}\mu)z = \frac{x-y}{N-1}$. By definition of ν we have $\mu^{\otimes N}$ a.e.: $z = (a_1 + \cdots + a_N)z$, in particular for each $a \in \mathrm{supp}\mu$, $Naz = z$. Then, if $z \neq 0$, the line W generated by z is a nontrivial $\mathrm{supp}\mu$ - invariant subspace with $W \cap C \neq \{0\}$, which contradicts condition $\mathcal{C}$, since $d > 1$. Since $\mathrm{supp}\nu \subset C_+$, $\nu = \delta_0$ is excluded.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the set of affine subspaces with positive ν-mass and minimal dimension. If $W, W' \in W$ and $W \neq W'$, then $\dim(W \cap W') < \dim W$, hence $\nu(W \cap W') = 0$. Since $\sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \nu(W) \le 1$, it follows that $\sup\{\nu(W); W \in \mathcal{W}\} = \nu(W_0)$ for some $W_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ and the set $\mathcal{W}_0$ of such W_0's is finite. From the fixed point equation, we have if $W_0 \in \mathcal{W}_0$, $\nu(W_0) = \int (h\nu)(W_0)dp(h)$. Since h is invertible we have $\dim h^{-1}(W_0) = \dim W_0$, hence $\nu(h^{-1}W_0) \le \nu(W_0)$. Then the equation above gives $h^{-1}W_0 \in \mathcal{W}_0$, hence the finite set $\mathcal{W}_0$ is invariant under the action of the subgroup of H generated by $\mathrm{supp}p$.

Let us show that $\bigcap_{W_0 \in \mathcal{W}_0} W_0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $h \in H$ with $hx = ax + b$, $a \in \mathrm{supp}\mu$, $r(a) < 1$, $b \in \mathrm{supp}\eta$. Then for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|a^k| < 1$ and any $W_0 \in \mathcal{W}_0$: $h^k W_0 = W_0$. Hence h^k has a unique fixed point h_+ which is attracting for any $y \in V$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} h^{kn}y = h_+ \in \mathrm{supp}\nu$. On the other hand if $y \in W_0 \in \mathcal{W}_0$, $h^{kn}y \in W_0$. In the limit we get $h_+ \in W_0$, i.e. $h^+ \in \bigcap_{W_0 \in \mathcal{W}_0} W_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then the affine subspace $D = \bigcap_{W_0 \in \mathcal{W}_0} W_0$ is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{supp}p$ and $D \cap C_+ \neq \emptyset$. If $\dim D > 0$, the direction D (i.e. $D - D$) is a nontrivial $\mathrm{supp}\mu$-invariant subspace. We show that $(D-D) \cap C \neq \{0\}$ as follows. Let $h \in H$, $hx = ax + b$ with $r(a) > 1$, $a \in \mathrm{supp}\mu$, $b \in \mathrm{supp}\eta$ and $x \in D \cap C_+$. Then $h^n x \in C_+$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} |h^n x| = \infty$. Also $h^n x - x \in D - D$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{h^n x - x}{|h^n x|} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{h^n x}{|h^n x|}$, hence $\frac{h^n x - x}{|h^n x|}$ belongs to the intersection of the sphere at infinity S_∞ with the closure of C_+ in $\mathbb{R}^d \cup S_\infty$. It follows $(D-D) \cap C_+ \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction with hypothesis $\mathcal{C}$. If $\dim D = 0$, D is a point invariant under the action of the support of μ, which has been excluded as above. ☐

The next lemma says that because g is integrable, its smoothed version $\widetilde{g}$ is directly Riemann integrable. This is an analog of Lemma 9.1 in [Go], which cannot be used in our settings, since our definition of direct integrability involves uniform estimates with respect to u.

Lemma 5.18. *The function $\widetilde{g}$ is directly Riemann integrable.*

Proof. We will use here the following formula for $\widetilde{g}$, which is an immediate consequence of (5.10) and the definition of the smoothing operator,

$$\widetilde{g}(u,t) = \frac{N}{e^t e_*^{\chi}(u)} \int_0^{e^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r-y,r)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]\beta_u(dy)dr.$$

Recall that $\widetilde{g}$ is positive. In view of Lemma 5.6 it is enough to prove

$$\widetilde{g}(u,t) \le De^{-\varepsilon|t|}.$$

For negative t we just write

$$\widetilde{g}(u,t) \le \frac{D}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}dr\mathbb{E}\big[\langle AX,u\rangle\big] \le De^{(\chi-1)t} = De^{-(\chi-1)|t|}.$$

For positive t we fix p very close to χ ($1<p<\chi$) and η satisfying

$$1-\frac{1}{\chi} < \eta < \min\Big\{\frac{1}{\chi+1-p}, 1+p-\chi\Big\}$$

(in particular $\eta<1$). We first estimate

$$\widetilde{g}(u,t) \le D\big(g_1(u,t)+g_2(u,t)\big),$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(u,t) &= \frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t}\int_{\frac{e^{\eta t}}{2}}^{\infty} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r-y,r)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]\beta_u(dy)dr,\\ g_2(u,t) &= \frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t}\int_0^{\frac{e^{\eta t}}{2}} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r-y,r)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]\beta_u(dy)dr. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(u,t) &\le \frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}dr\beta_u\Big\{y:\ y>\frac{e^{\eta t}}{2}\Big\}\mathbb{E}\big[\langle AX,u\rangle\big]\\ &\le De^{(\chi-1)t}e^{-q\eta t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} y^q\beta_u(dy), \end{aligned}$$

hence if we choose $q\in(\frac{\chi-1}{\eta},\chi)$ then the expression above can be estimated by $De^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ for some $\varepsilon_1>0$. Indeed the last integral is finite since by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^q\beta_u(dy) \le \mathbb{E}\bigg[\Big\langle \sum_{i=2}^N A_iX_i, u\Big\rangle^q\bigg] \le \mathbb{E}\Big[\langle X,u\rangle^q\Big] < \infty$$

To estimate g_2 we fix $y<\frac{e^{\eta t}}{2}$. We will first prove

$$\frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r-y,r)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]dr \le De^{-\varepsilon_2 t}+De^{-t}y^{\chi}. \tag{5.19}$$

We will use the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r,\infty)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big] \le Dr^{1-p},$$

which was proved in the previous lemma (compare (5.15)).

By (5.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r-y,r)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]dr\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{e^t}\int_y^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r-y,\infty)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]dr+\frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{y} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]dr\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t-y} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r,\infty)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]dr-\frac{1}{e^t}\int_{e^t-y}^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r,\infty)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]dr\\
&\quad\le\frac{1}{e^t}\int_y^{e^t}\Big(r^{\chi-1}-(r-y)^{\chi-1}\Big)\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{(r-y,\infty)}(\langle AX,u\rangle)\langle AX,u\rangle\Big]dr+\frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{y} r^{\chi-1}dr\ \mathbb{E}\big[\langle AX,u\rangle\big]\\
&\qquad\qquad\le\frac{D}{e^t}\int_y^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}\Big(1-\Big(1-\frac{y}{r}\Big)^{\chi-1}\Big)(r-y)^{1-p}dr+De^{-t}y^{\chi}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the first integral we divide it into two parts: the integral over the interval $(y,2y)$ and the second one over $(2y,e^t)$. We study each of them separately. To estimate the first integral we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{e^t}\int_y^{2y} r^{\chi-1}\Big(1-\Big(1-\frac{y}{r}\Big)^{\chi-1}\Big)(r-y)^{1-p}dr &\le \frac{2^{\chi-1}y^{\chi-1}}{e^t}\int_y^{2y}(r-y)^{1-p}dr\\
&\le De^{-t}y^{\chi+1-p}\le De^{(\eta(\chi+1-p)-1)t}\le De^{-\varepsilon_2 t}.
\end{aligned}$$

To handle with the second one we will use the following inequality, valid for $0\le a\le\frac{1}{2}$, being a consequence of the mean value theorem:

$$1-(1-a)^{\chi-1}\le Da,$$

for some constant D depending only on χ. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{e^t}\int_{2y}^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}\Big(1-\Big(1-\frac{y}{r}\Big)^{\chi-1}\Big)(r-y)^{1-p}dr &\le \frac{D}{e^t}\int_{2y}^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}\frac{y}{r}(r-y)^{1-p}dr\\
&\le \frac{Dy}{e^t}\int_{2y}^{e^t} r^{\chi-1-p}dt\le Dye^{(\chi-p-1)t}\\
&\le De^{(\eta+\chi-p-1)t}\le De^{-\varepsilon_2 t}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (5.19). Finally to estimate g_2 we choose $\varepsilon<\min\{\chi-1,1\}$ and write

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(u,t) &\le \int_0^{e^{\eta t}}\Big(De^{-\varepsilon_2 t}+De^{-t}y^{\chi}\Big)\beta_u(dy)\\
&\le De^{-\varepsilon_2 t}+De^{(\varepsilon\eta-1)t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}y^{\chi-\varepsilon}\beta_u(dy)\le De^{-\varepsilon_3 t}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Finally we are able to conclude the main result.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Kesten's renewal theorem [K2]

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\widetilde{G}(u,t)=\frac{1}{\alpha(\chi)}\int_{C_1^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}}g(y,s)ds\,\pi^{\chi,*}(dy)=D_+>0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{e^t}\int_0^{e^t} r^{\chi-1}f(u,r)dr = e^{\chi}(u)D_+.$$

'Unsmoothing' the function $\widetilde{G}$ (Goldie [Go], Lemma 9.3) we obtain

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{\chi-1}\eta_u(t,\infty) = \lim_{t\to\infty} t^{\chi-1}f(u,t) = e_*^{\chi}(u)D_+.$$

Finally by Theorem 2, Chapter VIII of Feller [Fe] we deduce

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{\chi}\mathbb{P}[\langle X,u\rangle > t] = \lim_{t\to\infty} t^{\chi}\nu_u(t,\infty) = e_*^{\chi}(u)\frac{(\chi-1)D_+}{\chi}.$$

The result follows since e_*^{χ} is P_*-harmonic and χ-homogeneous. □

References

[BDM] B. Basrak, R. A. Davis, T. Mikosch. Regular variation of GARCH processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl. 99 (2002), no. 1, 95-115.*

[Bi] J.D. Biggins. Martingale convergence in the branching random walk. *J. Appl. Probability 14 (1977), no. 1, 2537.*

[BK] J.D. Biggins, A.E. Kyprianou. Fixed points of the smoothing transform: The boundary case. *Electron. J. Probab. 10 (17) (2005) 609-631.*

[B] L. Breiman. The strong law of large numbers for a class of Markov chains. *Ann. Math. Statist. 31 1960 801–803.*

[Bu] D. Buraczewski. On tails of fixed points of the smoothing transform in the boundary case. *Stochastic Process. Appl. 119 (2009), no. 11, 3955-3961.*

[BDG] D. Buraczewski, E. Damek, Y. Guivarc'h. *Convergence to stable laws for a class of multidimensional stochastic recursions.* Probab. Theory Related Fields, 148, 333-402, 2010.

[DL] R. Durrett, T. Liggett. Fixed points of the smoothing transformation. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 64 (1983), no. 3, 275–301.*

[FK] J. Faraut; A. Korányi. Analysis on symmetric cones. *Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994. xii+382 pp.*

[Fe] W. Feller. An introduction to probability theory and its application II. *(1966) John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York.*

[Fu] H. Furstenberg. Boundary theory and stochastic processes on homogeneous spaces. *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972), 193–229. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.*

[Go] Ch. M. Goldie. Implicit renewal theory and tails of solutions of random equations. *Ann. App. Prob. 1(1) (1991), 126–166.*

[GMW] S. Graf, R. D. Mauldin, S. C. Williams. The exact Hausdorff dimension in random recursive constructions. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1988), no. 381, x+121 pp.*

[G] Y. Guivarc'h. Sur une extension de la notion de loi semi-stable. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Probab. Statist. 26 (1990), no. 2, 261–285.*

[GL1] Y. Guivarc'h, É. Le Page. Simplicité de spectres de Lyapounov et propriété d'isolation spectrale pour une famille d'opérateurs de transfert sur l'espace projectif. *Random walks and geometry, 181–259, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004.*

[GL2] Y. Guivarc'h, É. Le Page. On matricial renewal theorems and tails of stationary measures for affine stochastic recursions. *preprint.*

[GR] Y. Guivarc'h, A. Raugi. Products of random matrices: convergence theorems. Random matrices and their applications. *(Brunswick, Maine, 1984), 31–54, Contemp. Math., 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.*

[GU] Y. Guivarc'h, R. Urban. Semigroup actions on tori and stationary measures on projective spaces. *Studia Math. 171 (2005), no. 1, 33–66.*

[H] H. Hennion. Limit theorems for products of positive random matrices. *Ann. Probab. 25 (1997), no. 4, 1545–1587.*

[Ho] R. Holley. A class of interactions in an infinite particle system. *Advances in Math. 5 1970 291-309 (1970).*

[KP] J.-P.Kahane, J. Peyrière. Sur certaines martingales de Benoit Mandelbrot. *Advances in Math. 22 (1976), no. 2, 131–145.*

[K1] H. Kesten. Random difference equations and renewal theory for products of random matrices. *Acta Math. 131 (1973), 207–248.*

[K2] H. Kesten. Renewal theory for functionals of a Markov chain with general state space. *Ann. Prob. 2 (1974), 355–386.*

[KP2] C. Klüppelberg, S. Pergamenshchikov. Renewal theory for functionals of a Markov chain with compact state space. *Ann. Probab. 31 (2003) 2270-2300.*

[L1] Q. Liu. The exact Hausdorff dimension of a branching set. *Probab. Theory Related Fields 104 (1996), no. 4, 515538.*

[L2] Q. Liu. On generalized multiplicative cascades. *Stochastic Process. Appl. 86 (2000), no. 2, 263-286.*

[M] B. Mandelbrot. Multiplications aléatoires itérées et distributions invariantes par moyenne pondérée aléatoire. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 278 (1974), 289–292.*

[R] M. Rosenblatt. Equicontinuous Markov operators. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen. 9, 1964, 205–222.*

[RTV] U. Rösler, V. Topchii, V. Vatutin. Convergence rate for stable weighted branching processes. *Mathematics and computer science, II (Versailles, 2002), 441453, Trends Math., Birkhuser, Basel, 2002.*

[S] F. Spitzer. Interaction of Markov processes. *Advances in Math. 5 1970 246-290 (1970).*

[V] E.B. Vinberg. The theory of convex homogeneous cones. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 12, 340-403 (1963).*

D. Buraczewski, E. Damek, Uniwersytet Wroclawski, Instytut Matematyczny, 50-384 Wroclaw, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, Poland

E-mail address: `dbura@math.uni.wroc.pl, edamek@math.uni.wroc.pl`

Y. Guivarc'h, IRMAR, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes cedex, France

E-mail address: `yves.guivarch@univ-rennes1.fr`